REPORT

Workshop session "Community-based Research: Do's and Don'ts of Arctic Research"

An IASC cross-cutting initiative during the Young Researcher Workshop at the 11th International Conference on Permafrost 2016

In the frame of the Permafrost Young Researchers Workshop on 18-19 June 2016 during the 11th International Conference on Permafrost 2016 (icop.org), a workshop session was organized by IASC Fellows Josefine Lenz (TWG), Elena Kuznetsova, Louis-Philippe Roy, Robert Way (all CWG), Kristina Brown and Emily Choy (all MWG) and supported by an IASC cross-cutting proposal. This workshop session aimed at bringing together Early Career Scientists (ECS, including engineers) and resident Arctic representatives and experts to discuss best practice and exchange of traditional and modern knowledge when conducting research in northern countries.

The Permafrost Young Researchers Workshop was organized and received funding from the Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI), International Permafrost Association (IPA), BOLIN Centre for Climate Research, Potsdam Graduate School (PoGS), Climate and Cryosphere (CliC) and Permafrost Young Researchers Network (PYRN). Funding by IASC was used for general workshop support and travel support for the organizers (ECS) and 4 invited guests (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1: Organizers and invited guests prior the workshop (left to right: Richard Gordon, J. Otto Habeck, Elena Kuznetsova, Josefine Lenz, Robert Way, Louis-Philippe Roy, Kristina Brown and Anna Annasyeva)

Richard Gordon came from Inuvik, Yukon Territory, Canada, and is Chief Ranger of Herschel Island Territorial Park. He is Inuvialuit, an active member of his community and reported from very practical cooperation between scientists and northerners. **Anna Annasyeva** is a Russian Saami living in Norway and studying at the Centre for Sami Studies at the Arctic University of Tromsø (PhD project "Boarding school policies in education of the Kola Sámi from 1910 to 2010"). She is an Indigenous Peoples Adviser at the International Barents Secretariat (IBS) and reported on the panel by talking about both, her own experiences in community-based research and as well as board activities. **Jan Otto Habeck** is Professor at the Institute of Social and Cultural Anthropology at the University of Hamburg in Germany. His research experiences as a social scientist within his recent project on "Permafrost and Culture" in the region of Sakha (Yakutia, Russia) was of great value to stimulate workshop discussions. **Robert Way**, IASC Fellow and PhD student in the field of permafrost research at the University of Ottawa, Canada, is Inuk himself, and completed the panel with a report on his involvement within the Inuk community.

Workshop organization

The 90-min session was held twice so that the \sim 150 participants from all over the world were given the chance to attend two of the offered 4 parallel sessions (icop2016.org/young researchers workshop). The workshop was opened with traditional music played on guitar

and sung by Richard Gordon and started with some opening words by the organizers (Fig. 2). We first asked the invited experts to introduce themselves by giving their name and origin and their background in the context of scientific activities in the Arctic. Then we split into the four small groups of 5-6 participants each plus one invited expert and one moderator (organizer). Here. the asked introduce participants were to themselves and discussed research



Fig. 2: Opening of the workshop session (Foto: Niels Weiss).

experiences in northern communities. The break-out groups were asked to create a list of do's and don'ts in Arctic Research. After, the groups came back into the plenum and



Fig. 3 Group discussion opens to the plenum after the break-out sessions (Foto: Niels Weiss).

presented their list of do's and don'ts (a small gift was given to the first one presenting). Questions and discussions developed already after these presentations and resulted in an active discussion round (Fig. 3). The workshop session was closed by final questions to the invited guests and closing remarks by the guests and organizers. The organizers provided a list of online links to reports and websites of existing community-based research project (e.g. APECS Nordic Project 2013-2015, a review for Sustaining Arctic Observing Networks or the Atlas of Community-Based Monitoring and Indigenous Knowledge in a Changing Arctic).

<u>Outcomes</u>

A lively exchange between all workshop participants and experts allowed an impressive outcome. A long and diverse list of Do's and Don'ts of Arctic Research was created as summarized in the supplement of this report. As organizers we would like to highlight that not only the working atmosphere was very positive, also the positive list (Do's) was generally more extensive then negative recommendations (Don'ts). The word cloud (Fig. 4) visualizes the most frequently mentioned terms in the collected lists of Do's and Don'ts of Arctic Research.



Fig. 4: word cloud with most frequently mentioned words in the lists of Do's and Don'ts in Arctic Research, created with wordle.

Conclusion

Sharing of diverse experiences in northern communities, crosscutting of disciplines and greater scientific understanding of the Arctic Regions was actively enhanced during the workshop. In other words, it was a great success!

Evaluations by participants:

All participants were asked to evaluate the workshops sessions they visited during the Young Permafrost Researchers Workshop. 15 individual evaluations sheets were received for the "Community-based Research" session which makes a bit more than $\frac{1}{4}$ of the overall number of participants. The workshop was rated with a 4.3 (sd=0.8) on a 0-5 scale (0= "waste of time" to 5= "great") which was the highest mean score among all parallel

workshop sessions. Written comments included: "good format", "good but possibly better when divided by discipline", "interactive" and "It was great to meet and talk with representatives of native communities and professionals working with them".

During the 11th International Conference on Permafrost following the workshop, all organizers and invited guests met several times, including the final conference banquet dinner. We concluded that discussions held with the ECS were incredibly interesting and successful. Bringing young researchers of different fields and local actors of northern communities actively together to discuss the right approach of their work seems highly important and communications should be further enhanced in the future.

Dissemination of results

This report will be shared with the invited panel guests and participants who registered their email address. We would like to ask them for their comments to develop further ideas based on workshop outcomes. We aim at writing a white paper which summarizes Do's and Don'ts in Arctic Research based on the "Community-based Research" workshop.

Supplement:

Compilation of Do's and Don'ts on Arctic Research developed during the "Communitybased Research" workshop session during the Young Researchers Workshop at ICOP2016.

	DO`s	DON`Ts				
	planning					
-	Start planning early! Involve local community before writing the proposal Hire local assistance and plan for local costs in the budget Find out who in the community would be interested in your work Get in touch with previous researchers in the area Be aware of research "fatigue" Respect community needs/wishes regarding your research Search for external funding to spend time in the community	 Include communities in planning from start Don't leave until last minute Don't forget long-term plans Don't discard local concerns or knowledge 				
	Contributions					
- - - - - - - - -	Ask community what they want for research products, outcomes Be clear and transparent with research objectives and be able to connect that to local topics/interests/context Acknowledge community partners in outputs Write articles together with local community, use local media for outputs	 Don't leave the community without any contributions (e.g., momentos, education, experience) Don't give money, but pay for work that is done Don't waste the community's time, be prepared when you arrive Don't teach locals how they should be or live Onships Don't create mistrust Don't hide that you are a researcher Share your observations and data with community Don't just present your work and leave, create open dialogue 				
-	Respect peoples time and personal needs					
- - - - -	Communication Be aware of terminology Non-verbal communication is important (smile!) Communicate indirect effects of research (climate change context) Talk to the local media to communicate results to the community Clear, open communication is key Create a community-based database of results Use simple plane language to create summary of	Impressions - Don't be rude/arrogant/ "know-it-all" - Don't leave garbage - Don't discuss controversial topics - Don't lose the community's respect				

	your work with highlights on the important		
topics			
-	Be open, approachable		
Connect with a community in a personal			Respect for Community
	way!		(Individuals & Place)
	-		Don't call it "the field"
-	Try to get informed about local history, culture, traditions	-	
		-	Don't judge from scientific pedestal
-	Share personal stories, connect on a personal level	-	Don't force your project/solutions on the community
	Plan extra social days at start and end of	-	Don't be disrespectful of local traditions/
_	research trip		customs
-	Talk about your life experience (where you are	-	Don't take advantage of traditional knowledge or
	from, what life is like there, etc.)		community contributions
-	Bring gifts etc. to show appreciation, giving and	-	Don't take safety for granted
	sharing		
-	Spend time in the community, get to know the		
	region in person		
	Get involved!		
-	Link with local high school, college programs		
-	Get involved with local youth		
-	Contact local education organizations for		
	presentations, curriculum applications of		
	research		
-	Offer support and help to community		
-	Be ready to do volunteer work within the		
	community, give back!		